Tuesday evening lectures:

Non-equilibrium theremodynamies of quantum systems

1) Classical Thermodymics

Boren in the XIX-th century, theremodynamics was motivated
 by the technological progress of the Industrial Revolution.

 At its center is the invention of the heat engine, which openates by converting heat (energy flow between two system at + temperatures) and work (evengy flow that generates motion).

 Scientists: Sadi Cannot (Heat engine), James Joule (nature of heat and work), Robert Mayer, Hermann von Helmholtz, Rudolph Clausis, Lond Kelvin (Laws of theremodynamies)...

1.1) The Laws of Thermodynamies

 Classical thermo dynamics is a theory of energy convension in macroscopic systems. The prime example is a gas of particles, chanacterized by macroscopic variables, called state variables, such as volume, pressure, temperature or particle number (N, P, T, N).

State = $\{V, P, T, N\}$. N = Norume P = Pressure T = rempenature Number of particles NE

• The state of the system	is changed by changing the state
variables, e.g. compress	sing lexpanding the gas with a pis-
	with a thermal neservoire.
	, T, N) and entropy SES(N,P,T,N)
ane called state lunet	ions and depend on the state of
the system. They chan	ge when the state changes:
	T'= T+ AT (Reservoire)
	N' = N + DN (Piston)
	ψ
	DE = Energy change
	DS: Entropy change
Finst Law of theremodynas	mil 5
The change in energy can	be mysitten as:
$\Delta E = Q + N , Q =$	Heat
	Work
· We use the conversions (I, W>O love kecit (work) entening
	on a cyclic process $\Delta E = O$, since
	~
•	$(0, \mathbf{M} \pm 0, \mathbf{M} \pm 0)$
•	Q, W = O. Heat and work are

• It is tempting to interpret the linst law as a simple statement of evengy conservation. This is not true, because the physical meaning of heat and work are only complete through the second law.

Second law of theremodynamics The change in entropy of a system in contact with a Reservoire at temperature T obeys the inequality: AS > Q/T [Clausius inequality, 1865] on equivalently as an equality: $\Delta S = \Sigma + Q/T$ I > 0 (Entropy production) It is a measure of irrevensibility of physical processes, with I = 0 for reversible processes.

· The entropy flow is usually defined as: $\Delta S_R = - Q / T$ (Entropy change in the reservoire). Thenelove, heat is that part of the evengy associated with an entropy flow. Nork is what remains and has no entropy llow associated.

· For a cyclic process $\Delta E = \Delta S = O$ and thus. I = - QIT = WIT > 0. The system has to expel heat to its extensions in any Cyclic process. Moneover, it is impossible to extract work lon such a process. · Consider a system connected to multiple reservoins: TH : Hot reservoire Te : Cold Reservoir First law: $\Delta E = Q_H + Q_C + W$ Second law: DS = I + OH + Qe. TH Te Il the process is cyclic $\Delta E = \Delta S = O$, we have: First law: Qc = - W - QH Second law: Th <u>- Qн - Qc =</u> Тн Тс 1 $= - \frac{Q_H}{T_H} - \frac{(-W \cdot Q_H)}{T_c} = Q_H \left(\frac{1}{T_c} - \frac{1}{T_H} \right) + \frac{W}{T_c}$

$$\begin{split} \hline & \underbrace{\mathsf{Cuttopy} \ \mathsf{production} \ \mathsf{for a cyclic process} \\ & \underline{\mathsf{between two reservoins}} \\ \hline & \overline{\mathsf{Z}} = \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{H}} \left(\underbrace{1}_{\mathsf{Te}} - \underbrace{1}_{\mathsf{H}} \right) + \underbrace{\mathsf{W}}_{\mathsf{Te}} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{h}} \mathsf{O} \\ & \cdot \\ \hline & \mathbf{This} \ \mathsf{foernulla} \ \mathsf{has two very} \ \mathsf{(unportant type)ections:} \\ \hline & \cdot \\ \hline & \mathsf{The efficiency of every conversion} \\ \hline & \cdot \\ \hline & \mathsf{Suppose I want to extinct on amount of work - \mathsf{W} \\ \mathsf{Now the best flowing (now the hot veservoire (uto system $\mathsf{Qh} > \mathsf{O} \cdot T can define the efficiency: \\ \hline & \mathsf{R} = \underbrace{\mathsf{N}}_{\mathsf{H}} \left(\underbrace{\mathsf{Efficiency}}_{\mathsf{Te}} \mathsf{ot heat} - \mathsf{to} \cdot \mathsf{work} \ \mathsf{conversion} \right), \\ \hline & \mathsf{R} \\ \hline & \mathsf{The entropy production take we that: \\ \hline & \mathsf{Z} = \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{H}} \left(\underbrace{1}_{\mathsf{Te}} - \underbrace{1}_{\mathsf{Th}} \right) + \underbrace{\mathsf{W}}_{\mathsf{Te}} = \underbrace{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{Te}} \left[\mathsf{Qn} \left(1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{Te}}_{\mathsf{Th}} \right) + \underbrace{\mathsf{W}}_{\mathsf{H}} \right] \\ & = \underbrace{\mathsf{Qh}}_{\mathsf{H}} \left(\underbrace{1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{Te}}_{\mathsf{Th}} \right) + \underbrace{\mathsf{W}}_{\mathsf{Rh}} \right] \\ & = \underbrace{\mathsf{Qh}}_{\mathsf{H}} \left(\mathsf{nc} - \mathsf{n} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{h}} \mathsf{O}, \qquad \mathsf{Re} = 1 - \underbrace{\mathsf{Te}}_{\mathsf{Th}} \\ \hline & \mathsf{The entropy} \ \mathsf{foered}_{\mathsf{Cutor}} \\ \hline & \mathsf{foered}_{\mathsf{Cutor}} \ \mathsf{foered}_{\mathsf{Clicicneg}} \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, the elliciency of evengy convension is limited by Caenat elliciency. Entropy production thus has very practical implications. The flow of heat In the absence of work W: O, we have: Finst law: QH = - Qe Second law: $\overline{L} = Q_{H} \left(\frac{1}{T_{e}} - \frac{1}{T_{u}} \right) \xrightarrow{3} O$. It Te < TH, then QH>O = Qe & O. In othere words : heat llows from hot to coed. 1.2) Statistical Mechanies · Classical theremody numies makes no rejevence to the microscopie state of the system, which would involve specilying the energy, momenta and qusitions of the particles that compose it. · Statistical mechanies establishes a bridge between classical mechanics of pareticles and the emodynamics.

The contract idea is the statistical ensemble: a collection
 of identical systems, each one representing a different mi enoscopic state compatible with the macroscopic state. Each
 microscopic state (microstate) is assigned a probability.

Gibbs - Shannon Entropy Considere a system with N dillement microstates, each entropy of the system is given by: $S = - \chi_B \sum_{i=n} P_i \log P_i > 0$ where P_i is the probability of microstate i and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i = 1$.

				theremod				
	• •	aula		3 40001	zvzngy	1 TUZCI		mpenan
It is	a p	unely ;	informat	sional a	luantity	1 Reques	enting	the ign
Rance	abor	it the	mienos	ecrite s	state;	or equi	valen le	the the
forema	tion	gained	by Ku	nowing "	ive mien	no sta te	With	certain?

Gibbs - Boltzmann distribution Suppose we know the avenage evengy of the system $(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i E_i$ (Maenoscopie construint). Then the distribution { Pi } that maximizes the Gibbs. Shannon entropy under the constraint of average everys is: Pi = Z⁻¹ e^{-BEi} (Gibbs - Boltzmann distribution). with $B = 1/(K_BT)$ and $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-BE_i}$. This distribution represents a system in the endlequilibrium at temperature T, about which we know only its avenage evengy. Since its enmopy is maximal, we have maximum ignovance about its microscopie state. In this sense, the thermal state is maximally random. · So far, we have only dealt with system in theremal equilibrium. In order to describe the evolution of the system (dynamics) as it exchanges heat and work, we need equalions of motion love the system itself. Quantum physics provides these equations, allowing us to non-equil: by: un the emody namies.

2) Quantum Physics

 Quantum physics was developed (nom 1400-1930s to explain the properties of energy and matter that cannot be explained by classical reasoning.

 At its cone is the following idea: evengy and mattere (particles) cannot be described by specific microscopic states (position, evengy, momentum), but instead by a superpositions of such microstates.

Superpositions are physical: we have to assume that they
exist in order to explain the properties of matter and
evergy. However, they are never divertly observed in expreviewents: we always observe a specific microstate. This
gives Rise to interesting questions in quantum loundations.

Quantum entanglement is a specific type of superposition
 between two or more quantum systems. Its application
 Lead to quantum information science, with Nobel Prizes
 awanded in 2022.

2.1) Quantum Statistical Mechanics

Density operator · We consider a complex Hilbert space H and lineare operatons acting on that space. The quantum state is generally described by a density operator: $e = \sum_{d=1}^{M} P_d | t_d \rangle \langle t_d \rangle , \qquad \sum_{d=1}^{N} P_d = 1.$

· The density operation is the quantum generalization of the classical ensemble (quantum statistical ensemble), where M is the dimension of the ensemble. · The set { | tax tal } are called projectores. They simply mean this : each state vectors 14a) E JC (sometimes called the wave lunction) occurs with probability Pd. · C>O (Positive eigenvalues) Density operator is a (quantum) preoba-TR[e] = 1 (Sum of eigenvalues is 1)) bility distribution. $\cdot \quad TR\left[c^2\right] \leq 1$ The equality holds it and only it C= 1+> (+) (pune state). Otherwise, the state is called a mixed state. Observables · An observable is a linear operator A obeying At = A, i.e. with real eigenvalues. They requesent the physical properties of a quantum system. (A) = TR[AC], Avenage value of A in the quantum state C. · Evengy operator / Hamiltonian HII) = Eili), { li} : evergy eigenstates (mienostates) {Ei}::: evengies of each state.

Dynamies - von Nermann equation

· The time-evolution of the density operator is given by the you- Neumann equation.

An isolated l closed quantum system evolves according to $\frac{de(i)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[H(t), e(t) \right] (\text{von Neumann equation}),$ $\frac{de(i)}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[H(t), e(t) \right] (\text{von Neumann equation}),$ $Where H(t) is the Hamiltonian of the system at time t and [\cdot, \cdot] is the ecommutators. The solution is:e(t) = U(t, t_0) e(t_0) U^{t}(t, t_0) (Unitany evolution) U(t, t_0) = T \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t} H(t') dt' \right]. where U(t, t_0) is the unitary evolution operation observing U(t, t_0) = U^{t}(t, t_0) = U^{t}(t, t_0) U(t, t_0) = 11 \text{ and } T \text{ is the}$	de(1) = - : [H(1), e(1)] (von Neumann equation),
where $H(t)$ is the Hamiltonian of the system at line t and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutators. The solution is: $E(t) = U(t, t_0) C(t_0) U^{t}(t, t_0) (Unitany evolution)$ $U(t, t_0) = T exp \left[-\frac{1}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t} H(t') dt' \right].$ where $U(t, t_0)$ is the unitany evolution operator obeying $U(t, t_0) U'(t, t_0) = U'(t, t_0) U(t, t_0) = 11$ and T is the	$\frac{de(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left[H(t), e(t) \right] (1000 \text{ Neumann equation}),$
t and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutators. The solution is: $C(1) = U(t_1 t_0) C(t_0) U^{t}(t_1 t_0) (Unitany evolution)$ $U(t_1 t_0) = T exp \left[-\frac{i}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t} H(1') dt' \right]$. where $U(t_1 t_0)$ is the unitary evolution operator obeying $U(t_1 t_0) U^{t}(t_1 t_0) = U^{t}(t_1 t_0) U(t_1 t_0) = 11$ and T is the	
$e(1) = U(1,10) c(10) U'(1,10) (Unitary evolution)$ $U(1,10) = T exp \left[-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{t} H(1') dt' \right].$ where $U(1,10)$ is the unitary evolution operation obeying $U(1,10) U'(1,10) = U'(1,10) U(1,10) = 11$ and T is the	where H(t) is the Hamiltonian of the system at time
$U(t_{1}t_{0}) = T \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} H(t') dt'\right].$ where $U(t_{1}t_{0})$ is the unitary evolution operator obeying $J(t_{1}t_{0}) U'(t_{1}t_{0}) = U'(t_{1}t_{0}) U(t_{1}t_{0}) = 11$ and T is the	t and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutators. The solution is:
where U(tilo) is the unitary evolution operactor obeying U(tito) U'(tito) = U'(tilo) U(tilo) = 11 and T is the	2(1) = U(t, to) C(to) Ut (t, to) (Unitany evolution)
J(+, to) U'(+, to) = U+(+, to) U(+, to) = 11 and T is the	$J(t, t_0) = T exp \left[-\frac{i}{t_0} \int_{t_0}^{t} H(t') dt' \right].$
•	
	J(tito) U'(tito) = U'(tilo) U(tilo) = 11 and 1 is the time - on deving operator.

$$\frac{Cxample}{Cxample}$$
• Consider a time-independent Hamiltonian H and an initial state given by:

$$C(to) = \sum_{a} P_{a} | t_{a}(t_{0}) \rangle \langle t_{a}(t_{0}) | .$$
• We expand $| t_{a}(t_{0}) \rangle$ in the eigenbasis of H:

$$| t_{a}(t_{0}) \rangle = \sum_{i} C_{i}^{4}(t_{0}) | i \rangle , \text{ where } C_{i}^{4}(t_{0}) \in C \text{ are } Complex coellicients. Cach state vector is therefore a superposition of every eigenstates (microstates). The density operator is then:
$$C(t_{0}) = \sum_{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} | i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{a} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{a} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{a} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{a} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle |i^{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{i}^{4})^{2} C_{i}^{4} P_{a} \right] |i^{2} \rangle \langle i \rangle |i^{2} \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{2} (1 - 10) |i^{2} \rangle .$$$$

$$C(t) = \sum_{i,i} C_{ii}(t) 1i^{i}(i) , where:
\frac{-i(Ei-Ei)(1-to)}{T} C_{ii}(t) .
C_{ii}(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{T}} C_{ii}(t_{0}) .
The diagonal elements i = i^{i} propresent probabilities to
tind the system in a given evengy microstate. They
do not evolve in time.
The olf-diagonal elements i + i^{i} requesent suprepositions
and have no classical analog: they are presty quartum
in nature and evolve in time; a. V.a. converses.
Van Neumann entergy
The only inguedient left is a nation of entergy for quartum
states. This is achieved through the van Neumann entergy to
quartum systems is given by the van Neumann entergy
S[E] = - Ka Ta[C log C] > 0.
1) S(C) is inventant under unitary evolution .
2) S(C) = 0 if C is a grue state.
3) S(C) is equivalent to G-S entropy if C is
diagonal in the every eigenbasis.$$

 Just like the Gibbs - Shannon entropy, the von Nermann entropy is a puncly informational quantity: it requesents the lack of knowledge about the actual quantum state.

 The entropy of a quantum system does not change under unitary evolution, which is totally revensible. This does not mean, however, that there are no innerensible proasses happening.

Quantum Relative Entropy

· Consider the dillenence between the non Nermann entropy of two density operations c and c. S[C] - S[J] = - KB TR [C log C] + KB TR [J log J] = - KB TR [C log C - G log G - C log G + C log G] = - Ko TR [C (log C - log G) - (G - e) log G] = - D[CIG] - KB TR [(C-G) LOG S] Thus: 5[0] - 5[0] = - D[CIJ] - KB TR[(C-J) LOG J], where: Quantum relative entropy D[clo] = Ko TR [c (log c - log o)] > 0

· The relative entropy can be shown to always be non-vegative and vanishes if C = C. It is a good candidate low entropy production as we will see. Driving a thermal system out of equilibrium Suppose we prepare a quantum system at time to in a thermal state given by: C(to) = Z² R , where H is the Hamilsonian This Hamiltonian is then changed in time according to: H , + > to + Z arbitnany, to+z>t>to, z= Driving H(+)2 period. H, t & to Cyclic driving Η Theemal Non - equilibrium - BH C(to) = <u>e</u> C(+) The change in evenge of the system alter the driving peniod z is given by: $\Delta E = T_R \left[H C(t_{1}) \right] - T_R \left[H C(t_{0}) \right]$

= TR [H(c(1) - c(10)].

The change in non Neumann entropy is O because the evolution is unitary. $\Delta S : S[C(+)] - S[C(+0)] = 0$ However : $\Delta S = - D [c(1)] c(10)] - K_B T_R [(c(1) - c(10) Rog c(10)].$ Noting that: $log C(t_0) = log \left[\frac{-BH}{2} \right] = log \left[\frac{-BH}{2} \right]$ 3 = - BH - log Z, we have: TR [(C(+) - C(+0) Rog C(+0)] = - log Z TR [C(+) - C(+0)] - B TR [H ((+) - C(+0))] $= -B \Delta E$. There love: $\Delta S = - D[c(t)]c(t_0)] + \Delta E = 0 \implies$ $\Delta E = T D[c(+)](+)]$ = KOT TO [C(1) (LOG ((1) - 208 C(10))] > 0.

· It is impossible to extract every (norm a (closed) thermal state using a finite driving.

- A linite driving, where the initial and linal Hamiltonian are the same, is the quantum equivalent of a cyclic process. There is however a crucial distinction: the final state of the system C(1) is a non-equilibrium state !
- The evengy change DE induced by time-dependent deiving is associated with work, since there is no neservoire present during the evolution (no entropy flow).

W = DE>, O Impossible to extract work from a thermal state in a cyclic process.

2.2) Open Quantum Systems

• A quantum system is, in practice, open to its environment. The environment is usually too complicated to describe microscopically and we are only interested in the system.

The theony of open quantum system describes how a quantum system evolves when it intenaets will the environment. The evolution is not unitany, but is given by a quantum dynamical map.